

On double 1^{--} charmonium production through two-photon e^+e^- annihilation at $\sqrt{s} = 10.6$ GeV

A.V.Luchinsky¹

Institute of High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia

Abstract: Recent measurements of the Belle Collaboration of the exclusive production of two charmonia in the e^+e^- annihilation differ substantially from theoretical predictions. Recently it was suggested that a significant part of this discrepancy can be explained by the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2J/\psi$ and value for its cross section was published. In this paper it is shown, that these results are incorrect and the values for the cross sections of the production of different vector charmonia are presented.

The charmonium states (such as J/ψ , $\psi(2S)$ or η_c) are of great interest both from theoretical and experimental points of view because of (i) their clear experimental signature and (ii) great theoretical simplifications which arise from their non-relativistic nature. Especially interesting are reactions of exclusive double charmonium-states production in e^+e^- annihilations since all non-perturbative constants can be determined phenomenologically from $(c\bar{c}) \rightarrow e^+e^-$ decay rates.

Recently the Belle Collaboration has observed e^+e^- annihilation in two charmonium states at center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 10.6$ GeV [1] and their measurements differ significantly from the results based on non-relativistic quantum chromodynamics (NRQCD) [2, 3, 4]. For example the measured cross section for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\eta_c$ is about one order of magnitude higher then the results obtained in [3, 4, 5]. This presents a challenge to our current understanding of charmonium production.

The possible way to explain the part of this difference was proposed in [6] (BBL). The authors assumed that some of the events in Belle's $J/\psi\eta_c$ signal could actually be $J/\psi J/\psi$ events produced in two virtual photons e^+e^- annihilation and obtained the values

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{BBL}[e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi + J/\psi] &= 8.70 \pm 2.94 \text{ fb}, \\ \sigma_{BBL}[e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi + \psi(2S)] &= 7.22 \pm 2.44 \text{ fb}, \\ \sigma_{BBL}[e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) + \psi(2S)] &= 1.50 \pm 0.51 \text{ fb}.\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

These results have some drawbacks that follow from the approximations used by the authors:

(i) The calculations in BBL were held with the help of NRQCD and instead of physical masses of vector mesons the values $M_{J/\psi} = M_{\psi(2S)} = 2m_c = 2.8$ GeV were used. Since in the experiment the reaction $e^+e^- \rightarrow VV$ goes near the threshold value of the energy cross section depends strongly on final particle masses and this approximation can lead to large errors.

(ii) In BBL all QCD corrections were neglected. As it was shown in [2] the effect of these corrections can be significant. For example the leading order value of the probability factor used in [6] $[\langle O_1 \rangle_{J/\psi}]_{LO} = 0.208 \text{ GeV}^3$, but if first order QCD corrections were taken into account it becomes $[\langle O_1 \rangle_{J/\psi}]_{NLO} = 0.335 \text{ GeV}^3$.

Both of these drawbacks could be corrected if we use not model but physical values of input parameters, i.e. vector meson masses and eeV coupling constants.

¹mpti9502@mx.ihep.su




Figure 1: QED diagrams for $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\gamma^* \rightarrow V_1V_2$

Four diagrams of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow V_1V_2$ are shown on figure 1, other two can be obtained from diagrams 1.c and 1.d by interchanging final state vector mesons. First of all, one can note, that diagrams 1.a and 1.b are enhanced with respect to others by the factor $\sim (s/4M_V^2)^2 \sim 10$, which arises from virtual-photon propagators. The other source of this enhancement is that diagrams 1.a and 1.b are strongly peaked near the beam direction. The QCD corrections caused by the gluon emitted and captured by the same quark or by the quark-antiquark pair which forms a vector meson are taken into account in eeV coupling constant. When gluon line connects quarks of different vector mesons we get additional color and photon-propagator suppressions. So from now on only diagrams 1.a, 1.b will be considered.

The amplitude for this diagrams is

$$\mathcal{M} = e^2 g_1 g_2 \phi_1^\mu \phi_2^\nu \left[\frac{\bar{v}(k_2) \gamma_\nu \hat{q}_a \gamma_\mu u(k_1)}{q_a^2} + \frac{\bar{v}(k_2) \gamma_\mu \hat{q}_b \gamma_\nu u(k_1)}{q_b^2} \right],$$

where ϕ_i^α is i 's meson polarization vector, g_i is the coupling constant of the vertex eeV_i which can be obtained from the electron decay width of the vector meson $V_{1,2}$:

$$g_i^2 = 12\pi \frac{\Gamma_i^{ee}}{M_i},$$

M_i is the mass of V_i and Γ_i^{ee} is the widths of its electron decay.

The differential cross section

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{dx} = & \frac{1}{64\pi} \frac{2b}{s^2} \sum |\mathcal{M}|^2 = \frac{g_1^2 g_2^2 b}{32\pi s^2} \left[\frac{6s(M_1^2 + M_2^2)}{M_1^2 M_2^2} - 6 + \frac{4a^2}{M_1^2 M_2^2} - \right. \\ & \left. \frac{3M_1^2}{M_2^2} - \frac{3M_2^2}{M_1^2} - \frac{s^2}{M_1^2 M_2^2} + 12 \frac{a^2 + b^2 x^2}{a^2 - b^2 x^2} - 8M_1^2 M_2^2 \frac{a^2 + b^2 x^2}{(a^2 - b^2 x^2)^2} + \right. \end{aligned}$$

i	$J/\psi(1S)$	$\psi(2S)$	$\psi(3770)$	$\psi(4040)$	$\psi(4160)$	$\psi(4415)$
M_i, GeV	3.097	3.685	3.77	4.04	4.159	4.415
Γ_i^{ee}, eV	5.26	2.12	0.26	0.75	0.77	0.47

Table 1:

	$J/\psi(1S)$	$\psi(2S)$	$\psi(3770)$	$\psi(4040)$	$\psi(4160)$	$\psi(4415)$
$J/\psi(1S)$	2.26	1.46	0.17	0.46	0.46	0.26
$\psi(2S)$	1.46	0.23	0.06	0.15	0.15	0.08
$\psi(3770)$	0.17	0.06	0.003	0.02	0.02	0.01
$\psi(4040)$	0.46	0.15	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.03
$\psi(4160)$	0.46	0.15	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.02
$\psi(4415)$	0.26	0.08	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.01

Table 2: $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow V_1 V_2)$, fb

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2s \left(\frac{M_1^2}{M_2^2} \frac{1}{a-bx} + \frac{M_2^2}{M_1^2} \frac{1}{a+bx} \right) + 2 \left(\frac{M_1^2}{M_2^2} \frac{a+bx}{a-bx} + \frac{M_2^2}{M_1^2} \frac{a-bx}{a+bx} \right) + \\
& 2 \left(\frac{1}{M_1^2} \frac{(a-bx)^2}{a+bx} + \frac{1}{M_2^2} \frac{(a+bx)^2}{a-bx} \right) - 2 \left(\frac{a-bx}{M_2^2} + \frac{a+bx}{M_1^2} \right) - \\
& 2s^2 \left(\frac{1}{M_2^2(a-bx)} + \frac{1}{M_1^2(a+bx)} \right) + \frac{4sa}{a^2 - b^2 c^2} \Big]. \tag{2}
\end{aligned}$$

where $x = \cos \theta$ is the cosine of the angle between e^- and V_1 momenta,

$$\begin{aligned}
a &= \frac{s - M_1^2 - M_2^2}{2}, \\
b &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{s - (M_1 + M_2)^2} \sqrt{s - (M_1 - M_2)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The plot for differential cross section for the case $V_1 = V_2 = J/\psi$ is shown on figure 2. One can easily see that, as it was mentioned above, it is peaked near the values $x = \pm 1$.

The result for total cross section is obtained from (2) by integrating x from -1 to 1:

$$\sigma = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{d\sigma}{dx} dx = \frac{g_1^2 g_2^2}{8\pi a s^2} \left((s^2 + (M_1^2 + M_2^2)^2) \log \frac{a+b}{a-b} - 8ab \right). \tag{3}$$

In the case of identical final particles (3) should be divided by 2 to avoid double counting.

Using experimental values of vector meson masses and electron decay widths listed in table 1 one can obtain total cross-sections presented in table 2. These results differ significantly from those presented in BBL, for example for $V_1 = V_2 = J/\psi$

$$\frac{\sigma_{BBL}(e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi J/\psi)}{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi J/\psi)} \approx 3.8.$$




Figure 2: Angular distribution for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2J/\psi$

Thus the author would like to note that the explanation proposed in BBL is unsatisfactory and the question of the difference between results of the Belle experiment and their theoretical predictions remains opened.

Author would like to thank A.K.Likhoded and S.S.Gershrein for valuable discussions.

References

- [1] K.Abe et al., [BELLE Collaboration], Phys.Rev.Lett. **89**, 142001 (2002)
- [2] G.T. Bodwin, E.Braaten and G.P.Lepage, Phys. Rev. D**51**, 1125 (1995); **55** 5853(E); hep-ph/9407339
- [3] E.Braaten and J.Lee, hep-ph/0211085
- [4] K.-Y.Liu, Z.-G.He and K.-T.Chao, hep-ph/0211181
- [5] A.V. Berezhnoy, A.K.Likhoded, private communication
- [6] G.T.Bodwin, E.Braaten, J.Lee, hep-ph/0212181